NEW YORK
LONDON
SINGAPORE
PHILADELPHIA
CHICAGO
WASHINGTON, DC
SAN FRANCISCO
SILICON VALLEY
SAN DIEGO
BOSTON
HOUSTON
LOS ANGELES
HANOI
HO CHI MINH CITY

ATLANTA



FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

MATTHEW A. TAYLOR DIRECT DIAL: +1 215 979 1140 PERSONAL FAX: +1 215 689 4437 E-MAIL: mataylor@duanemorris.com

www.duanemorris.com

BALTIMORE
WILMINGTON
MIAMI
BOCA RATON
PITTSBURGH
NEWARK
LAS VEGAS
CHERRY HILL
LAKE TAHOE
MYANMAR
OMAN
A GCC REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE
OF DUANE MORRIS

MEXICO CITY
ALLIANCE WITH
MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO

August 27, 2014

VIA ECF AND FACSIMILE

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square, Chambers 2204 New York, New York 10007-1312

Re: Lockheed Martin Corporation, et al. v. MTA, et al. Civil Action No.: 09 Civ. 4077 (PGG)

Dear Judge Gardephe:

Plaintiff Lockheed Martin Corporation ("Lockheed Martin") submits this letter in advance of the parties' pretrial conference scheduled on September 5, 2014 and in reference to a conference call with Your Honor's Law Clerk.

Lockheed Martin respectfully requests that the Court address the following topics at the pretrial conference:

- 1. Trial duration;
- 2. Allocation of trial time for each party to put on its case-in-chief, and that Lockheed Martin be allocated extra time to conduct cross-examinations on Defendants' twenty-six (26) witnesses offered by affidavit in its case-in-chief; ¹

DUANE MORRIS LLP

¹ Comparatively, Lockheed Martin offered thirteen (13) witnesses by declaration in its case-inchief.

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe August 27, 2014 Page 2

- 3. Allocated time for opening and timing for closing statements;
- 4. Timing for the Court's ruling on objections set forth in the parties' joint pretrial order;
- 5. Timing for moving exhibits into evidence;
- 6. Confirmation that the Court does not require any additional paper copies of the trial exhibits; and
- 7. Ability to keep exhibit sets in the Courtroom starting on the Friday before trial commences through the end of trial.

Lastly, pursuant to the Court's Individual Rules of Practice 4(G), the parties' motions for partial summary judgment were fully submitted on October 15, 2013, and Lockheed Martin respectfully inquires as to the status of those motions.

We look forward to the Court's direction and appreciate the Court's attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Matthew A. Taylor (MT 2914)

cc: Ira J. Lipton, Esq., Hoguet, Newman Regal and Kenney, LLP, for MTA (via email) Adam P. Friedman, Esq., Wolff & Samson PC, for the Sureties (via email)